

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

\* \* \*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

WAYNE REEVES,  
DIANE VAOGA, and JAMES STOLL,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-cv-01916-RFB-GWF

**ORDER OF PERMANENT  
INJUNCTION**

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff United States of America's Motion for Sanctions and for Entry of Default Judgments Against Defendants Wayne Reeves and Diane Vaoga (ECF No. 78), which the Court granted in its Order dated January 21, 2015 and which is incorporated by reference here. In that Order, the Court instructed the Clerk to enter the defaults of Defendants Wayne Reeves and Diane Vaoga and to enter default judgments against them, and stated that it would issue a separate Order outlining the details of the permanent injunction against these Defendants.

The general rule upon a party's default is that "well-pled allegations in the complaint regarding liability are deemed true." Fair Hous. of Marin v. Combs, 285 F.3d 899, 906 (9th Cir. 2002) (citations omitted). "The district court is not required to make detailed findings of fact." Id. Nevertheless, the Court makes the following findings of fact and enters this permanent injunction against Defendants Reeves and Vaoga.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Court finds that Reeves orchestrated and promoted, and that Vaoga assisted in, an illegal tax scheme. Reeves advised clients to set up sham trusts and have their wages directed

1 into accounts for those trusts as a way to improperly reduce their tax liability. Reeves also  
2 instructed clients to name him, Vaoga, or another trusted third party as a signatory on their trust  
3 accounts. Both Reeves and Vaoga acted as trustees and sent clients pre-signed blank checks to  
4 allow them access to their money, collecting fees in the process. Reeves and Vaoga advised  
5 clients that there were numerous tax benefits associated with these trusts, including that the  
6 income from the trusts was nontaxable and did not need to be reported on tax returns, that clients  
7 could deduct personal expenses and count them as business expenses of the trusts, that clients  
8 worked for the trusts and thus were paid in tax-deductible management fees, that clients no  
9 longer needed to file federal tax returns, and that there were no problems with the Internal  
10 Revenue Service (IRS) in making these financial arrangements.

11 The Court finds that Reeves prepared tax returns that willfully attempted to understate his  
12 clients' correct tax liabilities, including through the use of sham entities to improperly reduce his  
13 clients' true tax liabilities, in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 6694(b). The Court further finds that  
14 Vaoga assisted Reeves in this conduct.

15 The Court finds that because such conduct was continual and repeated, and because a  
16 narrower injunction would not be sufficient to deter Reeves's interference with the proper  
17 administration of the internal revenue laws, Reeves should be enjoined from further acting as a  
18 tax return preparer pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7407.

19 The Court finds that in organizing and selling an illegal tax scheme, Reeves made  
20 statements regarding the tax benefits associated with participation in the scheme that he knew or  
21 had reason to know were false and/or fraudulent as to material matters within the meaning of 26  
22 U.S.C. § 6700, and thus has engaged in conduct subject to penalty under that statute.

23 The Court finds that Reeves assisted others in the preparation of or advised others in the  
24 preparation of tax returns or other tax-related documents, knowing or having reason to know that  
25 such documents would be used in connection with a material matter arising under the internal  
26 revenue laws. He did so knowing that such documents, if so used, would improperly result in the  
27 understatement of his clients' tax liability, and thus has engaged in conduct subject to penalty  
28 under 26 U.S.C. § 6701.



- 1           4. Engaging in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6700, including making, in  
2           connection with the organization or sale of any plan or arrangement, any statement  
3           about the securing of any tax benefit that they know or have reason to know is false  
4           or fraudulent as to any material matter;
- 5           5. Engaging in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6701, including preparing,  
6           assisting in the preparation of, or advising with respect to a document related to a  
7           material matter under the internal revenue laws that includes a position that they  
8           know will, if used, result in an improper understatement of tax liability;
- 9           6. Engaging in conduct subject to penalty under any provision of the Internal Revenue  
10          Code;
- 11          7. Providing any entity or individual with any advice related to federal taxes;
- 12          8. Preparing federal tax returns for others or aiding, assisting, or advising others with  
13          respect to the preparation or filing of federal tax returns, amended returns, or other  
14          tax-related documents or forms for other persons;
- 15          9. Representing taxpayers before the IRS; and
- 16          10. Obstructing or delaying an IRS investigation or audit, or interfering with IRS tax  
17          collection efforts.

18           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that within **thirty (30) days** from the date this Order is  
19           entered, Defendants Wayne Reeves and Diane Vaoga shall produce to the United States a list  
20           identifying (with names, mailing and email addresses, phone numbers, and social security and  
21           any other tax-identification numbers) all clients and persons who have purchased their tax plans,  
22           arrangements, or programs, and shall file with the Court a certification that they have done so.

23           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that within **thirty (30) days** from the date this Order is  
24           entered, Defendants Wayne Reeves and Diane Vaoga shall contact by mail (or, if a mailing  
25           address is unknown, by email) all clients and persons who have previously purchased their tax  
26           shelters, plans, arrangements, or programs, including the trust program, or any other tax shelter,  
27           plan or program in which Reeves and/or Vaoga have been involved either individually or  
28           through any business entity, and inform those individuals of the Court's findings concerning the  
            falsity of prior representations made by Reeves and/or Vaoga, attaching a copy of this Order of  
            Permanent Injunction, and shall file with the Court a certification that they have done so.

